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Abstract. The generalized Bochner-Riesz operator $S^{R, \lambda}$ may be defined as

$$
S^{R, \lambda} f(x)=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\left(1-\frac{\rho}{R}\right)_{+}^{\lambda} \widehat{f}\right](x)
$$

where $\rho$ is an appropriate distance function and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is the inverse Fourier transform. The sharp bound $\left\|S^{R, \lambda} f\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}\right)}$ is shown for the distance function $\rho\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)=\max \left\{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|,\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right\}$. This is a rough distance function corresponding to the $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ cylinder analog $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{4}, \quad x_{1}^{2}+\right.$ $\left.x_{2}^{2} \leq 1, x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2} \leq 1\right\}$.

## Introduction

Bochner-Riesz means were conceived as a method for addressing the convergence of the inverse Fourier transform. We know the inverse Fourier transform (denoted $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ ) will allow us to recover $f$ from the Fourier transform of $f$ (denoted $\widehat{f}$ ) if $f$ is in Schwartz space (smooth, rapidly decaying at $\infty$, denoted $\mathcal{S}$ ) or if $f \in L^{2}$. However, for more general $f \in L^{p}$, $\widehat{f}$ will typically not be integrable and the inverse Fourier transform will not represent a convergent Lebesgue integral. Bochner-Riesz means allow one to check the convergence of the integral as a limit.

We define distance functions to be functions $\rho$ which are continuous on $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(t x) & =t \rho(x), \quad t>0 \\
\rho(x) & >0 \quad \text { if } x \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define the generalized Bochner-Riesz operator $S^{R, \lambda}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{R, \lambda} f(x)=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\left(1-\frac{\rho}{R}\right)_{+}^{\lambda} \widehat{f}\right](x) \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $(g(\xi))_{+}=\max \{g(\xi), 0\}$ is the positive part. Note that as $R \rightarrow \infty, S^{R, \lambda} f \rightarrow f$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Also note that $S^{R, \lambda} f \rightarrow f$ for $f \in L^{2}$ by Plancherel's theorem. On general principals, the question of convergence on other $L^{p}$ spaces is equivalent to the question of boundedness of the operators $S^{R, \lambda}$. By scaling, we may also assume that $R=1$. From now on we will focus on the boundedness of $S^{\lambda}=S^{1, \lambda}$ on $L^{p}$.

Standard Bochner-Riesz means, where $\rho(\xi)=|\xi|$, have been studied extensively. This case will be referred to as spherical means, as the multiplier is supported on a spherical ball. In 1971, Fefferman [2] showed that for spherical means to be

[^0]bounded on $L^{p}$, it is necessary to have $\lambda>\lambda^{*}(p)=\max \left\{d\left|\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right|-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right\}$. The Bochner-Riesz conjecture states that this is both necessary and sufficient.

In 1972, Carleson and Sjölin verified the conjecture in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ [1]. In 1973, Fefferman showed a connection between the Restriction Conjecture for the sphere and the Bochner-Riesz conjecture [3]. Recent progress on the Restriction Conjecture has used a bilinear approach (as in [8]) and recently Lee adapted Fefferman's argument to apply the bilinear results directly to spherical means [4]. This approach applied to the recent bilinear result of Tao [7] proves the Bochner-Riesz conjecture for $p>2+\frac{4}{d}$ for $d \geq 3$, the best current range of $p$.

To gain some insight into general $\rho$ we consider $\rho(\xi)=\max \left\{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|,\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right\}$, a distance function related to a cylinder. Here $\xi=\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{d^{\prime}} \times \mathbf{R}^{d^{\prime \prime}}$ and we assume $d^{\prime} \geq d^{\prime \prime}$. For this $\rho$, we denote the multiplier by $m_{\lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\lambda}(\xi)=\left(1-\max \left\{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|,\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right\}\right)_{+}^{\lambda} \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The support of $m_{\lambda}$ is a cylinder analog where $d^{\prime \prime}$ is allowed to be bigger than 1 . For $\xi$ near $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=1, m_{\lambda}=\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)_{+}^{\lambda}$ which is the multiplier for spherical means in $\mathbf{R}^{d^{\prime}}$. A similar relationship holds between $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime \prime}$ for $\xi$ near $\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|=1$. This way the same critical index $\lambda^{*}(p)$ will apply for the cylinder multiplier and spherical means in $\mathbf{R}^{d_{1}}$, since we assume $d^{\prime} \geq d^{\prime \prime}$ and therefore will provide the more restrictive condition. Given these observations, one might expect that this cylindrical operator would be bounded for the same range of $\lambda$ as spherical means in $\mathbf{R}^{d^{\prime}}$. However, this is not the case.

This problem was first studied by Luers [5] in 1988. Along with some partial positive results, he showed that in $\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}$ when $d \geq 4$ and $\lambda^{*}(p) \geq 1$, then $S^{\lambda}$ is unbounded for all $\lambda$. This is curious, since with spherical means there is always some large $\lambda$ for which the operator is bounded.

In 2007 the author made a more thorough investigation of the $\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}$ case [9]. It was shown that since the multiplier is not smooth on the light cone $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|$, boundedness would also depend on the cone multiplier. The nature of the nonsmoothness requires that $\lambda^{*}(p)$, which is also the critical index the cone multiplier in question, must be less than 1 , rather than simply less than $\lambda$.

This paper addresses a case not treated in [9], the case where $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}$. The arguments in [9] made ample use of the simplifying assumption that $d^{\prime \prime}=1$, and having $d^{\prime \prime}>1$ greatly complicates matters. Large portions of the argument are easily adapted for use here, however in the key region where $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| \approx\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|$ more significant modifications become necessary.

## 1. Results

Theorem 1.1. The operator $S_{\lambda}$ is bounded from $L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ for $\lambda>0$.

Our operator has quantitatively similarities to spherical means in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, so they will share the critical index of $\lambda>0$ when $p=4$. However, our operator also shares characteristics with the cone-like multiplier supported near $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|$. Sharp results are not available for this multiplier, so no sharp results for $p>4$ were obtained here. However the cone region can be sliced into numerous neighborhoods of $S^{1} \times S^{1}$, where $S^{1}$ represents a sphere in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ (that is, a circle). Since the critical index for spherical means in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ is $\lambda>0$ when $p=4$, adding these slices results in no
significant loss. To extend the result to $p>4$, some kind of sharp result for the $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|$ multiplier will be required.

## 2. Proof

We begin by defining a new operator, $S_{\delta}$, related to spherical means.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S_{\delta} f}(\xi)=\varphi\left(\delta^{-1}(1-|\xi|)\right) \hat{f}(\xi) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using a dyadic decomposition, it is easy to see that the study of spherical means can by reduced to the study of this operator. $\varphi$ is in the class $\Phi$, which consists of all function in $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}$ with the following properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { support of } \varphi & \subset[0,2] \\
\left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \xi^{\alpha}} \varphi\right| & \lesssim C \quad \text { for all }|\alpha| \leq d+2
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d+1}\right)$ is a standard multi-index and $C$ is a fixed constant. $\kappa$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}$ function supported in $\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. $\delta$ is assumed to be a small positive number. As stated in the introduction, the sharp bounds for $S_{\delta}$ were verified by Carleson and Sjölin.

Theorem 2.1 (Carleson, Sjölin).

$$
\left\|S_{\delta} g\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)} \lesssim \delta^{-\lambda}\|g\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)}
$$

for all $\lambda>0$.
Note that throughout the exposition, we will be using the symbol $\lesssim$ to denote that one expression is less than the other up to a constant which depends only on fixed values such as dimension and constants $\epsilon$ which are fixed at the beginning of proofs.

We now make a preliminary decomposition, then group the pieces into four cases which capture the nature of the multiplier $m_{\lambda}=\left(1-\max \left\{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|,\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right\}\right)_{+}^{\lambda}$. Choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ so that the support of $\varphi$ is contained in $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right], \varphi \neq 0$ on $\left[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{2}\right]$ and $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \varphi\left(2^{j} t\right) \equiv 1$ for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$. Choose $\varphi_{1}$ so that $\varphi_{1}(t)+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \varphi\left(2^{j}(1-t)\right) \equiv 1$ for $t \in[0,1)$.

Let

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
m_{j k}(\xi)=\varphi\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right) \varphi\left(2^{k}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\right) m_{\lambda}(\xi) & \text { for } j, k \geq 2  \tag{2.2}\\
m_{1 k}(\xi)=\varphi_{1}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right) \varphi\left(2^{k}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\right) m_{\lambda}(\xi) & \text { for } \quad k \geq 2 \\
m_{j 1}(\xi)=\varphi\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right) \varphi_{1}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) m_{\lambda}(\xi) & \text { for } \quad j \geq 2 \\
m_{11}(\xi)=\varphi_{1}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right) \varphi_{1}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) m_{\lambda}(\xi) & &
\end{array}
$$

Then, using the Triangle inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|m_{\lambda}\right\|_{M^{p}} \leq \sum_{k, j=1}^{\infty}\left\|m_{j k}(\xi)\right\|_{M^{p}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\|m_{\lambda}\right\|_{M^{p}}$ denotes the operator norm of $f \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[m_{\lambda} \widehat{f}\right]$.
We divide the sum into three parts and deal with each of them separately. The three parts are

Case (i) $|k-j| \geq 2$ : When $|k-j| \geq 2$ the support of $m_{j k}$ is near the "sides" of the cylinder, where $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=1$ or $\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|=1$. In this region $m_{\lambda}=\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}$ (or $m_{\lambda}=\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}$ ), so one expects the multiplier to behave like spherical means in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$.
Case (ii) $|k-j| \leq 1, k, j \neq 1$ : This region contains the set where $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\left|\xi_{d+1}\right|$ (except near the origin). This is the most interesting case, where we explore the non-smooth portion of $m_{\lambda}$.
Case (iii) $|k-j| \leq 1, k=1$ or $j=1$ : We simply use scaling to extend our results from the first two cases to the center of the cylinder.

### 2.1. Case (i) $|k-j| \geq 2$, near $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=1$ or $\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|=1$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\lambda>0$. The following sums converge:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|m_{j k}\right\|_{M^{4}},  \tag{2.4}\\
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j+2}^{\infty}\left\|m_{j k}\right\|_{M^{4}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

These sums represent all multiplier pieces where $|k-j| \geq 2$.
Proof. Fix a small $\epsilon>0$.
Consider the first sum. When $j \geq k+2 \geq 4$, we have $\rho(\xi)=\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|$, so

$$
m_{j k}(\xi)=\varphi\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right) \varphi\left(2^{k}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\right)\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|m_{j k}\right\|_{M_{4}} & =\left\|S_{2^{-j}}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)}\left\|\widetilde{S}_{2^{-k}}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad S_{2^{-j}}^{\prime} f & =\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\varphi\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right)\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}\right] * f, \\
\text { and } \quad \widetilde{S}_{2^{-k}} f & =\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\varphi\left(2^{k}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\right)\right] * f
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that for $S_{2^{-j}}^{\prime}$ we can use Theorem 2.1 with $\delta=2^{-j}$ as follows. Define $\widetilde{\varphi}(t)=t^{\lambda} \varphi(t)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2^{-j}}^{\prime} f=2^{-j \lambda} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\widetilde{\varphi}\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right)\right] * f \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since Theorem 2.1 requires only that $\varphi$ a $C_{0}^{\infty}$ function, we obtain the following resulting bound. Here we are also using the fact that since the operator $S_{2^{-j}}^{\prime}$ acts only on the first $d$ variables, leaving the $\xi^{\prime \prime}$ variables independent, we need only investigate the corresponding operator on $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, for which we use the same name.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{2^{-j}}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)} \lesssim 2^{-j \lambda} 2^{j \epsilon} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $\widetilde{S}_{2-k}$ only acts on the $\xi^{\prime \prime}$ variables, so we consider the corresponding operator on $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Here Theorem 2.1 also applies, this time without changing $\varphi$. We obtain the following bound:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{S}_{2^{-k}}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow L^{4}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)} \lesssim 2^{k \epsilon} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same bounds for $j$ and $k$ such that $j \geq k+2=3$ can be derived in a similar way. Now we can sum over the range $j \geq k+2$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\left\|m_{j k}\right\|_{M^{4}} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{j(-\lambda+\epsilon)} 2^{k \epsilon} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This sum converges if $\lambda>2 \epsilon$.
The second sum where $k \geq j+2$ converges for by a similar argument.
2.2. Case (ii) $|k-j| \leq 1, k, j \neq 1$, near $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|$. In this region we investigate the non-smooth portion of the multiplier. A number of steps are taken to prepare the multiplier, first to highlight the relationship to the cone then to decompose the multiplier into appropriate pieces.
2.2.1. Preparation of the Multiplier. We begin by subtracting a smooth multiplier in order to make our multiplier zero on the set where $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|$. This will simplify our analysis. We then decompose the multiplier dyadically with respect to distance from $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|$. The multiplier will have approximately uniform magnitude on the support of each of these dyadic pieces. A further decomposition essentially leaves us with pieces which are supported on a neighborhood of $S^{1} \times S^{1}$. We will then be able to apply the Bochner-Riesz results from $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ to these final pieces.

Fix $j, k$ such that $|j-k| \leq 1$ and $j, k \neq 1$. For the multiplier piece $m_{j k}$, we set it equal to zero along $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|$ as follows. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{j k}(\xi) & =m_{j k}(\xi)-\varphi\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right) \varphi\left(2^{k}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\right)\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\varphi\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right) \varphi\left(2^{k}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\right)\left[\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}-\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}\right] \\
0 & \text { if }\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| \\
0 & \text { if }\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Referring back to the proof of Lemma 2.2, we see that the multiplier we are subtracting,

$$
\varphi\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right) \varphi\left(2^{k}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\right)\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}
$$

is nearly the same as the multipliers considered in Case (i). The only difference is that $|k-j| \leq 1$, whereas in Case (i) $j \geq k+2$. The same proof applies here, so

$$
\left\|\varphi\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|(\cdot)^{\prime}\right|\right)\right) \varphi\left(2^{k}\left(1-\left|(\cdot)^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\right)\left(1-\left|(\cdot)^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}\right\|_{M^{p}} \lesssim 2^{j\left(-\lambda+\lambda^{*}(p)+2 \epsilon\right)}
$$

We can sum these terms for $p=4$ and $\{j, k, \quad|k-j| \leq 1, j \geq 2\}$ as long as $\lambda>2 \epsilon$. Case (ii) is now reduced to finding a bound for $\left\|\mu_{j k}\right\|_{M_{p}}$.
Decompose the support of $\mu_{j k}$ in the following manner. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ be a function such that $\phi \equiv 1$ on $\left[\frac{1}{4}, 4\right]$ and $\operatorname{supp} \phi \subset\left[\frac{1}{8}, 8\right]$. Let $\widetilde{\varphi}(t)=\varphi(t) t, \widetilde{\phi}(t)=$ $\phi(t) t^{\lambda-1}$ and $h(\xi)=1-\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}-\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda} & =\int_{0}^{1}-\lambda\left[1-\left(t\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|+(1-t)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right]^{\lambda-1}\left[\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right] d t \\
& =-\lambda\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\left|1-\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|}\right| \int_{0}^{1}\left[1-\left(t\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|+(1-t)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right]^{\lambda-1} d t \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$\varphi\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \mu_{j k}(\xi)=\varphi\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \varphi\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right)$

$$
\cdot \varphi\left(2^{k}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\right)\left[\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}-\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}\right]
$$

$$
=\varphi\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \varphi\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right) \varphi\left(2^{k}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\right)
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\phi\left(2^{j}\left[1-\left(t\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|+(1-t)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right]\right)(-\lambda)\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left|1-\frac{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|}\right|\left[1-\left(t\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|+(1-t)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right]^{\lambda-1}\right) d t
$$

$$
=(-\lambda)\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right| 2^{-M} 2^{-j(\lambda-1)} \widetilde{\varphi}\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \varphi\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right)
$$

$$
\varphi\left(2^{k}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\right) \int_{0}^{1} \widetilde{\phi}\left(2^{j}\left[1-\left(t\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|+(1-t)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right]\right) d t
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=(-\lambda)\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right| 2^{-M} 2^{-j(\lambda-1)} \widetilde{\varphi}\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \varphi_{j}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{k}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{j, t}(\xi) d t \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{j}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) & =\varphi\left(2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
\varphi_{k}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\varphi\left(2^{k}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
\phi_{j, t}(\xi) & =\widetilde{\phi}\left(1-\left(t\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|+(1-t)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|k-j| \leq 1, \phi_{j, t}$ satisfies the following properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{supp}\left(\phi_{j, t}\right) \subset & \left\{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}, \frac{1}{100}<2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)<100\right.  \tag{2.18}\\
& \text { and } \left.\frac{1}{100}<2^{j}\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)<100\right\} \\
\left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \xi^{\alpha}} \phi_{j, t}(\xi)\right| \leq & C 2^{|\alpha| j} \quad \text { for all }|\alpha| \leq 5 \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the bounds on the derivatives are uniform for $t \in[0,1]$, so both the above properties apply to $\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{j, t}(\xi) d t$. The multiplier $-\lambda\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|$, when smoothly cut off outside of the cylinder, represents a nice bounded multiplier operator so we can absorb it into a new term $\phi_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j}(\xi)=(-\lambda)\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right| \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{j, t}(\xi) d t \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|j-k| \leq 1$, it will suffice to consider $j=k$. The $|j-k|=1$ cases will follow from the same proof. We have now reduced the multiplier to the simplified form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \mu_{j k}(\xi)=2^{-M} 2^{-j(\lambda-1)} \widetilde{\varphi}\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \varphi_{j}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{j}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right) \phi_{j}(\xi) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\varphi_{j}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{j}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right) \phi_{j}(\xi)$ portion is supported in a "annulus" a distance $2^{-j}$ from both the top and sides of the cylinder. It can be thought of as taking a twodimensional square with sidelength $2^{-j}$, rotating it around the $x$-axis through a third dimension, then rotating the result around the $y$-axis through a fourth dimension. The result is essentially a neighborhood of a torus imbedded in four dimensions. The $\varphi\left(2^{M} h(\cdot)\right)$ term is supported a distance $2^{-M}$ from $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|$. Together these are supported in a truncated "cone" of thickness $2^{-M}$ and "height" $2^{-j}$ in $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\xi^{\prime \prime}$ (see figure 1 ).


Figure 1. Support of the decomposition pieces

In a final decomposition, we introduce an equispaced cutoff $\chi$. This will reduce the support from a cone to a sum of $2^{-M}$ neighborhoods of tori, with which analysis is much easier. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}$, supp $\chi \subset(-1,1)$, and $\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(\cdot-N) \equiv 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{M j \nu}(\xi) & =\chi\left(2^{M(1+\epsilon)}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|-\frac{\nu}{2^{M(1+\epsilon)}}\right)\right) \widetilde{\varphi}\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \varphi_{j}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{j}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right) \phi_{j}(\xi) \\
\varphi\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \mu_{j k}(\xi) & =2^{-M} 2^{-j(\lambda-1)} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}} \Phi_{M j \nu}(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

The index set $\mathcal{V}$ represents the integers where $\Phi_{M j \nu}$ is not identically zero. Due to supports of the various terms, the size of the set $\mathcal{V}$ is of the order $2^{M-j} 2^{M \epsilon}$.

## Lemma 2.3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Phi_{M j \nu}\right\|_{M^{4}} \lesssim 2^{M \epsilon} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The multiplier $\Phi_{M j \nu}$ is a bump function supported on an $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ annulus crossed with another $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ annulus. We use a Taylor expansion to separate the $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\xi^{\prime \prime}$ variables, allowing us to apply the $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ Bochner-Riesz result twice.

We proceed by taking $\widetilde{\varphi}\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \phi_{j}(\xi)$ and expanding it in a Taylor series in the $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|$ variable around $\frac{\nu}{2^{M(1+\epsilon)}}$. Denote $\chi_{\nu}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)=\chi\left(2^{M(1+\epsilon)}\left(|\xi|-\frac{\nu}{2^{M(1+\epsilon)}}\right)\right)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{M j \nu}(\xi)= & \chi_{\nu}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right) \varphi_{j}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{j}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \left.\cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{n}}\left[\widetilde{\varphi}\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \phi_{j}(\xi)\right]\right|_{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\frac{\nu}{2^{M(1+\epsilon)}}}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|-\frac{\nu}{2^{M(1+\epsilon)}}\right)^{n} \\
= & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{\nu}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(\varphi_{j}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|-\frac{\nu}{2^{M(1+\epsilon)}}\right)^{n} 2^{M(1+\epsilon) n}\right) \\
2.23) & \cdot\left(\left.\varphi_{j}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right) \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{n}}\left[\widetilde{\varphi}\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \phi_{j}(\xi)\right]\right|_{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\frac{\nu}{2^{M(1+\epsilon)}}} 2^{-M n}\right) 2^{-\epsilon n} \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Each derivative in the series loses $2^{M}$ but we gain $2^{-M(1+\epsilon)}$ due to the support of $\chi_{\nu}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)$. Therefore we need only consider the first $N$ terms. The $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\xi^{\prime \prime}$ variables are now separate, and Theorem 2.1 applies to both the multipliers in the parentheses in line 2.23. The lemma follows immediately.

One more lemma is necessary to obtain some gain using the orthogonality of the $\chi_{\nu}$ decomposition.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite interval in the integers $\mathbf{Z}$. Then for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{p}=1$ and $f \in L^{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\chi_{\nu}\right] * f\right\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim\left(\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}}\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\chi_{\nu}\right] * f\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This lemma is easily verified for $p=2$ using Plancherel's theorem.
The lemma follows by interpolation and duality from the $p=2$ bound and the following $p=1$ bound (triangle inequality):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{\nu} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\chi_{\nu}\right] * f\right\|_{L^{1}} & \leq \sum_{\nu}\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\chi_{\nu}\right] * f\right\|_{L^{1}} \\
& =\left(\sum_{\nu}\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\chi_{\nu}\right] * f\right\|_{L^{1}}^{1}\right)^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting these to lemmas together allow us to finish Case (ii).

Lemma 2.5. Let $\lambda>0$. The following sums converge:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left\|m_{j j}\right\|_{M^{4}},  \tag{2.25}\\
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left\|m_{j,(j+1)}\right\|_{M^{4}},  \tag{2.26}\\
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left\|m_{(j+1), j}\right\|_{M^{4}} . \tag{2.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

These sums represent all multiplier pieces where $|k-j| \leq 1$ and $k, j \neq 1$.

Proof. As before, we assume $j=k$. The other two cases follow similarly.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\varphi\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \mu_{j k}(\xi)\right] * f\right\|_{L^{4}} & =2^{-M} 2^{-j(\lambda-1)}\left\|\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\Phi_{M j \nu}\right] * f\right\|_{L^{4}} \\
2.28) &  \tag{2.28}\\
& \lesssim 2^{-M} 2^{-j(\lambda-1)}\left(\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}}\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\Phi_{M j \nu}\right] * f\right\|_{L^{4}}^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}  \tag{2.29}\\
& \lesssim 2^{-M} 2^{-j(\lambda-1)}\left(\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}}\left(2^{M \epsilon}\|f\|_{L^{4}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}  \tag{2.30}\\
2.29) & \lesssim 2^{-M} 2^{-j(\lambda-1)} 2^{M \epsilon}\left(2^{M-j} 2^{M \epsilon}\|f\|_{L^{4}}^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}  \tag{2.31}\\
2.30) & =2^{-\frac{M-j}{4}} 2^{-j \lambda} 2^{\frac{7}{4} M \epsilon}\|f\|_{L^{4}}  \tag{2.32}\\
2.31) \quad\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\mu_{j j}\right] * f\right\|_{L^{4}} & \lesssim \sum_{M=j-6}^{\infty}\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\varphi\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right) \mu_{j k}(\xi)\right] * f\right\|_{L^{4}}  \tag{2.33}\\
2.32) \quad & \lesssim \sum_{M=j-6}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{M-j}{4}} 2^{-j \lambda} 2^{\frac{7}{4} M \epsilon}\|f\|_{L^{4}}  \tag{2.34}\\
2.33) \quad & \lesssim 2^{-j \lambda} 2^{\frac{7}{4} j \epsilon} .
\end{align*}
$$

The final term can be summed in $j$ for $\lambda>\frac{7}{4} \epsilon$. Note the sum in $M$ starts at $j-6$ due to the supports of $\varphi_{j}$ and $\varphi\left(2^{M} h(\cdot)\right)$.
2.3. Case (iii) $|k-j| \leq 1, k=1$ or $j=1$.

## Lemma 2.6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[m_{11}+m_{12}+m_{21}\right] * f\right\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{p}} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d} \times \mathbf{R}\right), 1<p<\infty$ and $\lambda>0$.
Proof. In this region we use the following theorem of Seeger [6] to extend our result to the origin. The theorem applies with $\varphi$ defined as in the rest of this paper.

Theorem 2.7 (Seeger). Suppose that $m$ is a bounded function which satisfies for some $p, 1<p<\infty, \epsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t>0}\|\varphi(|\cdot|) m(t \cdot)\|_{M^{p}} \leq A \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t>0} \int_{|x| \geq w}\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi(|\cdot|) m(t \cdot)](x)\right| d x \leq B(1+w)^{-\epsilon} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\|m\|_{M^{p}} \lesssim A\left[\log \left(2+\frac{B}{A}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

We begin by decompose the multiplier in this region similar to the way we did in (2.2). Note we work with $\mu_{11}$ and the other two multipliers are similar.

$$
\mu_{11}(\xi)=\sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \varphi\left(2^{M} h(\xi)\right)\left[\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}-\left(1-\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)^{\lambda}\right] \varphi_{1}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right) \varphi_{1}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)
$$

Denote the terms in the sum $\mu_{M}(\xi)$. By analyzing the multiplier $\varphi(|\cdot|) \mu_{M}(t \cdot)$, we can obtain boundedness results for $\mu_{11}$. First we note that due to the support
of $\mu_{M}, \varphi(|\cdot|) \mu_{M}(t \cdot)$ is only non-zero if $t<2$. By analyzing derivatives of the multiplier and using the fact that $t$ is bounded, we see that

$$
\sup _{t>0}\left\|\varphi(|\cdot|) \mu_{M}(t \cdot)\right\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}} \leq C_{\alpha} 2^{-M} \quad \text { independent of } t
$$

We use this bound for the condition (2.36) in Theorem 2.7.
We obtain a bound of the form on (2.37) by doing integration by parts 6 times. Note that in the integration by parts we lose at most $2^{M}$ on each derivative since that is the loss in the multiplier's worst direction. We begin by defining the set $\Omega_{j}^{\omega}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 38) } \Omega_{j}^{\omega}=\left\{\xi=\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}, \xi_{4}\right\} \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2},|\xi|>\omega \text { and }\left|\xi_{j}\right|=\max _{k=1, \ldots, 4}\left|\xi_{k}\right|\right\}  \tag{2.38}\\
& \sup _{t>0} \int_{|x|>\omega}\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\varphi(|\cdot|) \mu_{M}(t \cdot)\right](x)\right| d x \lesssim \sup _{t>0} \int_{|x|>\omega}\left|\int e^{i x \cdot \xi} \varphi(|\xi|) \mu_{M}(t \xi) d \xi\right| d x
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lesssim \sup _{t>0} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \int_{\Omega_{j}^{\omega}}\left|\int \frac{e^{i x \cdot \xi}}{\left|x_{j}\right|^{6}} \frac{\partial^{6}}{\partial \xi_{j}^{6}}\left(\varphi(|\cdot|) \mu_{M}(t \cdot)\right)(\xi) d \xi\right| d x  \tag{2.39}\\
& \lesssim \sup _{t>0} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \int_{\Omega_{j}^{\omega}} \int \frac{2^{6 M}}{\left|x_{j}\right|^{6}} \chi_{\operatorname{supp}\left(\varphi(|\cdot|) \mu_{M}(t \cdot)\right)}(\xi) d \xi d x  \tag{2.40}\\
& \lesssim \sup _{t>0} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \int_{\Omega_{j}^{\omega}} \frac{2^{5 M}}{\left|x_{j}\right|^{6}} d x  \tag{2.41}\\
& \lesssim 2^{5 M}(1+\omega)^{-\epsilon} \quad \text { independent of } t \tag{2.42}
\end{align*}
$$

We then obtain a good bound on each $\mu_{M}$ and can sum in $M$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mu_{M}\right\|_{M^{p}} & \lesssim 2^{-M}\left[\log \left(2+\frac{2^{5 M}}{2^{-M}}\right)\right]^{\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right|} \\
& \lesssim M^{\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right|} 2^{-M} \\
\Rightarrow\left\|\mu_{11}\right\|_{M^{p}} & \lesssim \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} M^{\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right|} 2^{-M} \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

This bound on $\left\|\mu_{11}\right\|_{M^{p}}$ applies for $1<p<\infty$ and for all $\lambda>0$.
Combining the results of the three cases completes the proofs for Theorem 1.1.
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